

Interconvertibility and irreducibility of permutation symmetric three qubit pure states

A. R. Usha Devi,^{1,2,*} Sudha,³ and A. K. Rajagopal²

¹Department of Physics, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 056, India

²Inspire Institute Inc., McLean, VA 22101, USA.

³Department of Physics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga-577 451, India

(Dated: February 15, 2010)

A novel use of Majorana geometric representation brings out distinct entanglement families of permutation symmetric states of qubits. The paradigmatic W and GHZ (Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger) states of three qubits respectively contain two and three independent Majorana spinors. Another unique state with three distinct Majorana spinors – constructed through a permutation symmetric superposition of two *up* qubits and one *down* qubit (W state) and its obverse state ($\bar{W}$) exhibits genuine three-party entanglement, which is robust under loss of a qubit. While the GHZ state has irreducible correlations and cannot be determined from its parts, we show here that the correlation information of the W-superposition state is imprinted uniquely in its two party reduced states. This striking example sheds light on the contrasting irreducibility features of interconvertible states.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.67.-a

Understanding different kinds of correlations exhibited by multiparticle quantum systems is one of the central issues of importance in quantum information science [1]. Two N -party *pure* states $|\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle$ are interconvertible, with non-zero probability of success, by means of stochastic local operations and classical communications (SLOCC) if and only if there exists an invertible local operation (ILO) [2] $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_N$ such that $|\phi\rangle = A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_N |\psi\rangle$. Local unitary (LU) operations $U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \dots \otimes U_N$ form a subset of ILOs and two multiparty states related to each other through LU possess exactly *same* amount of entanglement, while interconvertibility via ILO correspond, in general, to modified entanglement features. General classification of distinct kinds of entanglement that are *inequivalent* under SLOCC has gained increasing attention in recent years [2–5]. It is found to be convenient to address this issue by restricting to special classes of states exhibiting some particular symmetry, in order to tackle the algebraic complexity associated with exponentially increasing size of the Hilbert space. In the illuminating case of N -qubits obeying permutation symmetry, it is sufficient to search for identical ILOs of the form $A \otimes A \otimes \dots \otimes A$ to verify the SLOCC equivalence of two pure states [6, 7]. The significance of such considerations is catching up and innovative experimental schemes to generate a large variety of multiqubit states has been proposed recently [8]. The representation proposed by Majorana [9] as early as 1932 offers a deeper understanding on how different entanglement families emerge, depending on the number and arrangement of the independent spinors (qubits) constituting the *pure* symmetric state [6].

“Can higher order correlations follow entirely from lower order ones?” is also a question of fundamental interest both from the modern perspective of quantum information science [10] as well as in many body physics [11]. Identifying the extent to which correlation content of a n -

party state can/cannot be ascribed to that within groups of fewer than n parties and finding methods of characterizing *irreducibility* of n -party correlations would indeed shed light on different possible types of correlations that quantum states can exhibit. Linden, Popescu and Wootters [10] proved an interesting result that the correlation content of *almost all* pure states, shared by n parties, is already contained in their reduced states i.e., the correlations within a generic n -party pure state are *reducible* [10].

“Do interconvertible states possess similar *irreducibility* features?” is a related important question not addressed before. In the present Letter we draw attention to distinct entanglement families of permutation symmetric three qubit symmetric and explicitly show that two different states belonging to the same SLOCC class can exhibit contrasting features as far as irreducibility [10] of their correlations is concerned. A general discussion on how/if the N -party correlations within a pure symmetric N -qubit state – belonging to a specific entanglement family – follow from lower order correlations carried by the reduced subsystems, will be presented elsewhere [12]. *Majorana representation:* A system of N -qubits obeying exchange symmetry gets restricted to a $(N+1)$ dimensional Hilbert space spanned by the basis vectors $\{|N/2, k - N/2\rangle, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where,

$$|N/2, k - N/2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}C_k} [\underbrace{0_1, 0_2, \dots}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{1_1, 1_2, \dots}_{N-k \text{ times}} + \text{Permutations}] \quad (1)$$

are the $N+1$ Dicke states – expressed in the standard qubit basis $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$. (Here, $N C_k = \frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!}$ denotes the binomial coefficient).

An arbitrary pure symmetric state,

$$|\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^N d_k |N/2, k - N/2\rangle, \quad (2)$$

is specified by the $(N+1)$ complex coefficients d_k . Eliminating an overall phase and normalizing the state (i.e., $\sum_{k=0}^N |d_k|^2 = 1$) implies that N complex parameters are required to completely characterize a pure symmetric state of N qubits.

Alternately, Majorana [9] expressed the pure state $|\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle$ as a superposition of *symmetrized* states of N spin-1/2 particles:

$$|\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_P \hat{P} \{|\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N\rangle\} \quad (3)$$

where $|\epsilon_s\rangle = \cos(\beta_s/2) e^{-i\alpha_s/2} |0_s\rangle + \sin(\beta_s/2) e^{i\alpha_s/2} |1_s\rangle$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$ denote spinors constituting the state $|\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle$; $\hat{P}$ denotes the set of all $N!$ permutations and $\mathcal{N}$ corresponds to an overall normalization factor. So, N complex parameters $z_s = \tan \frac{\beta_s}{2} e^{i\alpha_s}$ offer an alternate parametrization for the pure N qubit symmetric state. As an identical rotation $R \otimes R \dots \otimes R$ on the symmetric state $|\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle$ transforms it into another symmetric state, choosing $R = R_l^{-1} \equiv R^{-1}(\alpha_l, \beta_l, 0)$ (where $(\alpha_l, \beta_l, 0)$ denote the Euler angles of rotation [13]) such that it aligns one of the constituent spinors say, $|\epsilon_l\rangle$, along the positive z -direction i.e., $R_l^{-1}|\epsilon_l\rangle = |0_l\rangle$, results in the following identification [14],

$$\langle 1_1, 1_2, \dots, 1_N | R_l^{-1} \otimes R_l^{-1} \dots \otimes R_l^{-1} |\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle \equiv 0 \quad (4)$$

Eq. (4) holds good for any identical rotations $R_s^{-1} \otimes R_s^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes R_s^{-1}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$, orienting *any* one of the constituent qubits in the positive z -direction. In other words, there exist N rotations $R_s^{-1} = R^{-1}(\alpha_s, \beta_s, 0)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$, which lead to the same result as in (4).

In terms of the alternate representation (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^N d_k \langle N/2, -N/2 | \mathcal{R}^{-1} | N/2, k - N/2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^N d_k D_{k-N/2, -N/2}^{N/2*}(\alpha_s, \beta_s, 0) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $D_{k-N/2, -N/2}^{N/2*}(\alpha_s, \beta_s, 0) = \langle N/2, -N/2 | \mathcal{R}_s^{-1}(\alpha_s, \beta_s, 0) | N/2, k - N/2 \rangle$, (where $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\alpha_s, \beta_s, 0) = R_s^{-1} \otimes R_s^{-1} \dots \otimes R_s^{-1}$ represents the collective rotation in the $N+1$ dimensional symmetric subspace) denotes the elements of the rotation matrix in the Wigner- D representation [13]. Substituting the explicit form of the D -matrix [13], $D_{k-N/2, -N/2}^{N/2*}(\alpha_s, \beta_s, 0) = (-1)^k \sqrt{N C_k} \left(\cos \frac{\beta_s}{2}\right)^{(N-k)} \left(\sin \frac{\beta_s}{2}\right)^k e^{i(k-N/2)\alpha_s}$, in (5) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A} \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k \sqrt{N C_k} d_k z^k = 0 \quad (6)$$

where $z = \tan \frac{\beta_s}{2} e^{i\alpha_s}$, and $\mathcal{A} = \cos^N \frac{\beta_s}{2} e^{-i\alpha_s N/2}$. In other words, given the parameters d_k , the N

roots $z_s, s = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of the Majorana polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k \sqrt{N C_k} d_k z^k$ determine the orientations (α_s, β_s) of the spinors constituting the N -qubit symmetric state. The list of degeneracy numbers $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d; \sum_i n_i = N\}$ – where d denotes the number of distinct spinors $|\epsilon_1\rangle, |\epsilon_2\rangle, \dots, |\epsilon_d\rangle$, (which are determined by the independent solutions of the Majorana polynomial) respectively appearing $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_d$ times, is employed by Bastin et. al. [6] to classify pure symmetric states into different families denoted by $\{D_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d}\}$. For e.g., when all the N solutions of the Majorana polynomial are identically equal, the symmetric state is given by $|\Psi_{\text{sym}}^{(N)}\rangle = |\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon\rangle$, with the degeneracy N ; the symmetric state is then said to belong to the family of separable states denoted by $\{D_N\}$. The symmetric states with two distinct spinors have the form, $|\Psi_{\text{sym}}^{(n_1, n_2)}\rangle = \mathcal{N} [|\underbrace{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_2}_{n_2}\rangle +$

Permutations]; $n_1 \geq n_2, n_1 + n_2 = N$, and they belong to the family $\{D_{n_1, n_2}\}$ etc. Clearly, two pure symmetric states belonging to different classes can not be related to one another by an identical ILO $A \otimes A \otimes \dots \otimes A$ and hence, they are inequivalent under SLOCC [6].

Irreducibility of pure three qubit symmetric states – (i) the SLOCC class $\{D_{2,1}\}$:

Let us consider a three qubit pure symmetric state with two of the spinors $|\epsilon_1\rangle, |\epsilon_2\rangle$, distinct i.e., the states belonging to the SLOCC class $\{D_{2,1}\}$. The symmetrized three qubit state (see Eq. (3)) is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{2,1}\rangle &= \mathcal{N} \sum_P \hat{P} \{|\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\rangle\} \\ &= \mathcal{N} [|\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\rangle + |\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1\rangle + |\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1\rangle], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the spinors $|\epsilon_s\rangle = R_s |0_s\rangle = \cos(\beta_s/2) e^{-i\alpha_s/2} |0_s\rangle + \sin(\beta_s/2) e^{i\alpha_s/2} |1_s\rangle$, $s = 1, 2$. We simplify (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{2,1}\rangle &= \mathcal{N} \left[\sum_P \hat{P} \{R_1 \otimes R_1 \otimes R_2\} \right] |0_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{N} R_1 \otimes R_1 \otimes R_1 \sum_P \hat{P} \{I \otimes I \otimes R_1^{-1} R_2\} |0_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $R_1^{-1} \otimes R_1^{-1} \otimes R_1^{-1} |D_{2,1}\rangle = |D'_{2,1}\rangle$, where $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ is local unitarily related to $|D_{2,1}\rangle$ and belongs to the same SLOCC class $\{D_{2,1}\}$, we get,

$$|D'_{2,1}\rangle = \mathcal{N} [|0_1, 0_2, \epsilon'_2\rangle + |\epsilon'_2, 0_2, 0_3\rangle + |0_1, \epsilon'_2, 0_3\rangle] \quad (8)$$

with $|\epsilon'_2\rangle = R_1^{-1} R_2 |\epsilon_2\rangle = c_0 |0\rangle + c_1 |1\rangle$, $c_0^2 + c_1^2 = 1$. We thus obtain the states belonging to the class of 3-qubit symmetric pure states $|D_{2,1}\rangle$, with only two distinct Majorana spinors (upto an identical local unitary transformation) as [15],

$$|D'_{2,1}\rangle = a |0_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle + \sqrt{3} b |W\rangle \quad (9)$$

where $a = \frac{\sqrt{3}c_0}{\sqrt{3|c_0|^2+|c_1|^2}}$, $b = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{9|c_0|^2+3|c_1|^2}}$, and

$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[|1_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle + |0_1, 1_2, 0_3\rangle + |0_1, 0_2, 1_3\rangle] \quad (10)$$

is the 3-qubit W state. We now show that 3-party correlation in the state (9) is *reducible* as the state is *uniquely* determined in terms of its 2-party reduced density matrices.

Let us suppose that a mixed three qubit state ω too shares the two-qubit reduced system ρ_{12} , same as that of $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ i.e., $\rho_{12} = \text{Tr}_3[|D'_{2,1}\rangle\langle D'_{2,1}|] = \text{Tr}_3\omega$. The mixed state ω may always be thought of as a reduced system of a pure state $|\Omega\rangle$ of the three qubits and an environment E such that $\text{Tr}_E[|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|] = \omega$ and so, the two party reduced state ρ_{12} can be expressed as $\rho_{12} = \text{Tr}_3[|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|]$. Consistency between these two lead to the desired result. The two party reduced state ρ_{12} of (9) is a rank-2 mixed state

$$\rho_{12} = \text{Tr}_3[|D'_{2,1}\rangle\langle D'_{2,1}|] = |\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0| + |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1|, \quad (11)$$

where $|\phi_0\rangle = a|0_1, 0_2\rangle + b(|1_1, 0_2\rangle + |0_1, 1_2\rangle)$, $|\phi_1\rangle = b|0_1, 0_2\rangle$. And in order that the pure state $|\Omega\rangle$ (or the mixed state ω) too shares the same two qubit reduced state ρ_{12} , we should have

$$|\Omega\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle|E_0\rangle + |\phi_1\rangle|E_1\rangle, \quad \langle E_i|E_j\rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (12)$$

The states $|E_0\rangle, |E_1\rangle$ are the ones containing the third qubit and the rest of the environment:

$$|E_0\rangle = |0_3\rangle|e_{00}\rangle + |1_3\rangle|e_{01}\rangle, \quad |E_1\rangle = |0_3\rangle|e_{10}\rangle + |1_3\rangle|e_{11}\rangle.$$

Thus, the state $|\Omega\rangle$ can be expressed explicitly as,

$$|\Omega\rangle = |0_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle[a|e_{00}\rangle + b|e_{10}\rangle] + |0_1, 0_2, 1_3\rangle[a|e_{01}\rangle + b|e_{11}\rangle] + b|0_1, 1_2, 0_3\rangle|e_{00}\rangle + b|0_1, 1_2, 1_3\rangle|e_{01}\rangle + b|1_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle|e_{00}\rangle + b|1_1, 0_2, 1_3\rangle|e_{01}\rangle \quad (13)$$

Demanding that the reduced system ρ_{13} of $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ too is shared by $|\Omega\rangle$ imposes further restrictions [16].

We first consider the matrix element $\langle 1_1, 1_3|\rho_{13}|1_1, 1_3\rangle$, evaluated from both $|\Omega\rangle$ (see (13)) and $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ (see (9)): We get $\langle 1_1, 1_3|\text{Tr}_{2,E}[|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|]|1_1, 1_3\rangle = |b|^2\langle e_{01}|e_{01}\rangle$. But from (9) we obtain the value zero for this matrix element. Hence, $|e_{01}\rangle \equiv 0$. Further, from the orthonormality relations (12) we obtain $\langle e_{00}|e_{00}\rangle = 1$, and $\langle e_{00}|e_{10}\rangle = 0$.

Similarly, we get $\langle 0_1, 1_3|\text{Tr}_{2,E}[|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|]|1_1, 0_3\rangle = |b|^2\langle e_{11}|e_{00}\rangle$, whereas (9) leads to the value $|b|^2$, implying that $|e_{11}\rangle \equiv |e_{00}\rangle$.

Finally, $\langle 0_1, 0_3|\text{Tr}_{2,E}[|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|]|0_1, 0_3\rangle = |a|^2\langle e_{10}|e_{10}\rangle + |a|^2 + |b|^2$ is compared it with its value $|a|^2 + |b|^2$, found from (9) to infer that $|e_{10}\rangle = 0$. Thus, we deduce $|\Omega\rangle = |D'_{2,1}\rangle|e_{00}\rangle$. In other words, the *only* extended state that is consistent with the two party reduced states (of all the qubit pairs (1, 2), (2, 3), and (1, 3)) of the pure three qubit

state $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ is the direct product state $|\Omega\rangle = |D'_{2,1}\rangle|e_{00}\rangle$, establishing the uniqueness of the “whole with its parts” in the case of three qubit states belonging to the SLOCC class $\{D_{2,1}\}$. (Note that we have employed only two of the reduced states ρ_{12}, ρ_{13} to reach this conclusion).

(ii) *States belonging to the SLOCC class $\{D_{1,1,1}\}$* : Are the three qubit states consisting of all three distinct Majorana spinors determined by its reduced systems uniquely? To address this question, we consider two specific examples of the SLOCC family $\{D_{1,1,1}\}$, the first being the three qubit GHZ state,

$$|\text{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0_1, 0_2, 0_3\rangle + |1_1, 1_2, 1_3\rangle]. \quad (14)$$

The Majorana polynomial equation (6) for this state has a simple structure $1 - z^3 = 0$, solutions of which are cube roots of unity $\omega, \omega^2, \omega^3 = 1$ and the corresponding spinors constituting the state are readily identified to be $|\epsilon_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle + \omega|1\rangle]$, $|\epsilon_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle + \omega^2|1\rangle]$, $|\epsilon_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle + |1\rangle]$. GHZ state is fragile under the loss of a qubit, with vanishing pairwise concurrence [17] for any pairs of two qubit reduced density matrices; but it exhibits genuine three-party entanglement [2, 18] with the maximum tangle [19] $\tau = 1$. The state exhibits irreducible three party correlations which can not be determined by its reduced states [10, 20].

A special state of three qubits: We now consider the state

$$|\eta\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|W\rangle + |\bar{W}\rangle]. \quad (15)$$

This is a superposition of the three qubit W state (10) and its obverse state $|\bar{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[|1_1, 1_2, 0_3\rangle + |1_1, 0_2, 1_3\rangle + |0_1, 1_2, 1_3\rangle]$. The state $|\eta\rangle$ has genuine three party entanglement, quantified in terms of the tangle $\tau = 1/3$, and it is also robust under the loss of qubits – as reflected through the concurrence $C = 1/3$ for any pairs of two qubits. The three qubit symmetric state $|\eta\rangle$ given by (15) satisfies the Majorana polynomial equation $z(z-1) = 0$ and the corresponding spinors constituting the state are $|\epsilon'_1\rangle = |1\rangle$, $|\epsilon'_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle + |1\rangle]$, $|\epsilon'_3\rangle = |0\rangle$.

Contrasting $|\text{GHZ}\rangle$ and $|\eta\rangle$: While the entanglement features of the states $|\text{GHZ}\rangle$ and the W superposition state $|\eta\rangle$ appear to be different, both the states belong to the same SLOCC class and one can be *locally* converted from another, with some finite probability, so that $|\text{GHZ}\rangle = A \otimes A \otimes A |\eta\rangle$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$. The corresponding Majorana spinors of the states $|\eta\rangle$ and $|\text{GHZ}\rangle$ are related to each other up to an overall factor: $A|\epsilon'_1\rangle = \sqrt{2}\omega|\epsilon_1\rangle$, $A|\epsilon'_2\rangle = -\omega^2|\epsilon_2\rangle$, and $A|\epsilon'_3\rangle = \sqrt{2}|\epsilon_3\rangle$.

Eventhough the GHZ and W superposition states are candidates of the same entanglement family, they do exhibit contrasting irreducibility features in that the state $|\eta\rangle$ gets entirely determined by its parts – whereas the reduced two party states of the GHZ state are separable

and so, the three party correlation information is *not* imprinted in them. We proceed to show explicitly that the higher order correlation in the W superposition state $|\eta\rangle$ is captured uniquely by its two qubit reduced states.

Following the procedure outlined for the states of the SLOCC class $\{D_{2,1}\}$, we suppose that a mixed three qubit state γ too has the same two-qubit reduced system ϱ_{12} , as that of $|\eta\rangle$. Denoting the pure state $|\Gamma\rangle$ to be containing the three qubits and the environment such that $\text{Tr}_E[|\Gamma\rangle\langle\Gamma|] = \gamma$, the two party reduced state ϱ_{12} can be expressed as $\varrho_{12} = \text{Tr}_{3,E}[|\Gamma\rangle\langle\Gamma|]$. The two qubit reduced system ϱ_{12} of the pure state $|\eta\rangle$ is a rank-2 state given by,

$$\varrho_{12} = |\chi_0\rangle\langle\chi_0| + |\chi_1\rangle\langle\chi_1|, \quad (16)$$

where $|\chi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}[|1_1, 0_2\rangle + |0_1, 1_2\rangle + |1_1, 1_2\rangle]$, and $|\chi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}[|0_1, 0_2\rangle + |0_1, 1_2\rangle + |1_1, 0_2\rangle]$. Given that the two party reduced state ϱ_{12} also belongs to the extended pure state $|\Gamma\rangle$ (or of the mixed state γ) of the three qubits and the environment, we must have

$$|\Gamma\rangle = |\chi_0\rangle|E_0\rangle + |\chi_1\rangle|E_1\rangle, \quad \langle E_i|E_j\rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (17)$$

In terms of the basis states of qubit 3, the states of the environment $|E_0\rangle, |E_1\rangle$ are given by $|E_0\rangle = |0_3\rangle|e_{00}\rangle + |1_3\rangle|e_{01}\rangle$ and $|E_1\rangle = |0_3\rangle|e_{10}\rangle + |1_3\rangle|e_{11}\rangle$. Now, demanding that the reduced system ϱ_{13} of $|\eta\rangle$ is also shared by $|\Gamma\rangle$ leads to further constraints.

First we compare $\langle 0_1, 1_3|\varrho_{13}|0_1, 1_3\rangle$, from the states (15) and (17): We have, $\langle 0_1, 1_3|\text{Tr}_2[|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|]|0_1, 1_3\rangle = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\langle 0_1, 1_3|\text{Tr}_{2,E}[|\Gamma\rangle\langle\Gamma|]|0_1, 1_3\rangle = \frac{1}{6}\langle e_{01}|e_{01}\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\langle e_{11}|e_{11}\rangle$ leading to $\langle e_{01}|e_{01}\rangle + 2\langle e_{11}|e_{11}\rangle = 2$.

Next, we compare $\langle 1_1, 1_3|\varrho_{13}|1_1, 1_3\rangle$ evaluated from the states $|\eta\rangle$ and $|\Gamma\rangle$: We get, $\langle 1_1, 1_3|\text{Tr}_{2,E}[|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|]|1_1, 1_3\rangle = \frac{1}{3}\langle e_{01}|e_{01}\rangle + \frac{1}{6}\langle e_{11}|e_{11}\rangle$ and $\langle 1_1, 1_3|\text{Tr}_2[|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|]|1_1, 1_3\rangle = \frac{1}{6}$ implying, $2\langle e_{01}|e_{01}\rangle + \langle e_{11}|e_{11}\rangle = 1$. From these relations we obtain $\langle e_{11}|e_{11}\rangle = 1$, $\langle e_{01}|e_{01}\rangle = 0$ (or $|e_{01}\rangle \equiv 0$). Further, from the orthonormality (17) it follows that $\langle e_{00}|e_{00}\rangle = 1$, and $|e_{10}\rangle \equiv 0$.

Finally, a comparison of the matrix elements $\langle 0_1, 0_3|\text{Tr}_{2,E}[|\Gamma\rangle\langle\Gamma|]|0_1, 1_3\rangle = \frac{1}{6}\langle e_{00}|e_{11}\rangle$ and $\langle 0_1, 0_3|\text{Tr}_2[|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|]|0_1, 1_3\rangle = \frac{1}{6}$ lead to $\langle e_{00}|e_{11}\rangle = 1$ or $|e_{11}\rangle \equiv |e_{00}\rangle$. Thus, the extended pure state (17) should take the form $|\Gamma\rangle \equiv |\eta\rangle|e_{00}\rangle$. In other words, the three qubit pure state $|\eta\rangle$ is uniquely determined by its two-qubit reduced systems and is therefore, reducible.

This illustrative example clearly projects out the contrasting irreducibility features of two SLOCC interconvertible states (14) and (15) of the *same* entanglement family $\{D_{1,1,1}\}$.

In conclusion, we have investigated the connection between SLOCC equivalence of pure states with the irreducibility of their correlations. With the help of representative examples of three qubit permutation symmetric states we have shown that interconvertibility does not necessarily imply irreducibility of correlations.

* Electronic address: arutth@rediffmail.com

- [1] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000)
- [2] W. Dur, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000).
- [3] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 052112 (2002).
- [4] L. Lamata, J. Leon, D. Salgado, and E. Solano, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 022318 (2007).
- [5] Interconvertibility of multipartite states by LU operations provides a partial solution to the more general problem of identifying the distinct entanglement families based on SLOCC. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of LU operations which relate two n -qubit pure states with one another have been derived recently in B. Kraus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 020504 (2010).
- [6] T. Bastin, S. Krins, P. Mathonet, M. Godefroid, L. Lamata, and E. Solano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 070503 (2009).
- [7] P. Mathonet, S. Krins, M. Godefroid, L. Lamata, E. Solano, and T. Bastin, arXiv e-print: 0908.0886.
- [8] N. Kiesel, W. Wieczorek, S. Krins, T. Bastin, H. Weinfurter, and E. Solano, arXiv e-print: 0911.5112; A. A. Maser, R. Wiegner, U. Schilling, C. Thiel, and J. von Zanthier, arXiv e-print: 0911.5115.
- [9] E. Majorana, Nuovo Cimento **9**, 43 (1932).
- [10] N. Linden, S. Popescu and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 207901 (2002); N. Linden and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 277906 (2002); N. S. Jones, N. Linden Phys. Rev. A **71**, 012324 (2005).
- [11] A. J. Coleman, Rev. Mod. Phys. **35**, 668 (1963).
- [12] A. R. Usha Devi, Sudha and A. K. Rajagopal, In preparation.
- [13] M. E. Rose, *Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum*, (New York, Wiley) 1957.
- [14] Every term in the symmetrized superposition (3) – after effecting the identical rotation $R_l^{-1} \otimes R_l^{-1} \dots \otimes R_l^{-1}$ – contains at least one “up” state $|0\rangle$, since $R_l^{-1}|e_l\rangle = |0_l\rangle$ and so, the projection $\langle 1_1, 1_2, \dots, 1_N | R_l^{-1} \otimes R_l^{-1} \otimes \dots | \Psi_{\text{sym}} \rangle$ of the rotated state in the “all-down” direction vanishes.
- [15] An ILO $A \otimes A \otimes A$ with $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{c_0}{c_1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, on the state $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ transforms it to the three qubit W state.
- [16] Comparing (9) with (13) we readily find that $|e_{01}\rangle \equiv 0$, and $|e_{11}\rangle = |e_{00}\rangle$ leading to a simplified structure, $|\Omega\rangle = |D'_{2,1}\rangle|e_{00}\rangle + |\phi_1\rangle|0_3\rangle|e_{10}\rangle$. Now, requiring that $\rho_{1,2} = \text{Tr}_{3,E}[|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|] = \text{Tr}_3[|D'_{2,1}\rangle\langle D'_{2,1}|]$, we readily find that $|e_{10}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle e_{00}|e_{00}\rangle = 1$. This leads to the desired result $|\Omega\rangle = |D'_{2,1}\rangle|e_{00}\rangle$ i.e., the reduced 2 party states determine the pure state $|D'_{2,1}\rangle$ uniquely.
- [17] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998); S. Hill and W. K. Wootters, ibid. **78**, 5022 (1997).
- [18] A. K. Rajagopal and R. Rendell, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032328 (2002).
- [19] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052306 (2000).
- [20] S. N. Walck and D. W. Lyons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 050501 (2008) ; Phys. Rev. A **79**, 032326 (2009).